

5

**CONTINUOUS METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SEPARATING  
POLYMER FROM A HIGH PRESSURE CARBON DIOXIDE FLUID  
STREAM**

10

**Cross-Reference to Related Applications**

The present application is a continuation-in-part application of Serial No.  
09/709,206 filed November 9, 2000, which claims priority to Provisional Application  
Serial No. 60/165,177 filed November 12, 1999, the disclosures of which are  
15 incorporated herein by reference in their entirety.

**Field of the Invention**

The present invention generally concerns methods and apparatus for the  
continuous production of polymers in a carbon dioxide reaction medium.

20

**Background of the Invention**

Increased environmental concerns and regulations over the use of volatile  
organic compounds (VOCs) since the late 1980s (e.g. Montreal Protocol in 1987 and  
the Clean Air Act amendments in 1990) have caused considerable effort being put  
25 into finding environmentally benign solvents for industrial use (McHugh, M.A. and  
V.J. Krukonis, *Supercritical Fluid Extraction : Principles and Practice*. Second ed,  
ed. H. Brenner. 1994, Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann). DeSimone et al. at the  
University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill have shown that supercritical carbon  
dioxide (scCO<sub>2</sub>) is a viable and promising alternative solvent ( $T_c = 31.8\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $P_c = 76$   
30 bar) to perform free-radical, cationic and step-growth polymerizations using batch  
reactors (DeSimone, J.M., Z. Guan, and C.S. Elsbernd, *Synthesis of Fluoropolymers  
in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide*. Science, 1992. **257**: p. 945-947). This work has

- been summarized in several recent reviews (Kendall, J.L., *et al.*, *Polymerizations in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide*. Chem.Rev., 1999. **99**(2): p. 543-563; Canelas, D.A. and J.M. DeSimone, *Adv. Polym. Sci.*, 1997. **133**: p. 103-140; Shaffer, K.A. and J.M. DeSimone, *Chain Polymerizations in Inert Near and Supercritical Fluids*. Trends in Polymer Science, 1995. **3**(5): p. 146-153). Indeed, CO<sub>2</sub> technology is intended to be commercially implemented by 2006 for the manufacture of Teflon™ by DuPont (McCoy, M., *DuPont, UNC R&D effort yields results*, in *Chemical & Engineering News*. 1999. p. 10). The reasons for the intense industrial interest are that CO<sub>2</sub> is cheap (\$100-200/ton), of low toxicity, non-flammable, and environmentally and chemically benign. In comparison to existing technologies for making polymers, CO<sub>2</sub> technology has several significant advantages as it will allow for the elimination of: a) expensive polymer drying steps; (b) expensive wastewater treatment and disposal steps where significant amounts of monomer, surfactants and emulsifiers are generated (Baker, R.T. and W. Tumas, *Toward Greener Chemistry*. Science, 1999. **284**: p. 1477-1478); (c) disposal of “spent” organic solvents; (d) handling, storage and shipping of toxic organic solvent; and (e) chain transfer to solvent, i.e., a reaction that may limit the achievable molecular weight of the polymer.

As industrial interest in using scCO<sub>2</sub> as a polymerization medium has grown, several disadvantages of batch reactors have been recognized, including: (1) large reactors which are costly at the high pressures of scCO<sub>2</sub>; and (2) difficulty in recycling the CO<sub>2</sub> and the unreacted monomer. Accordingly, there is a need for new ways to carry out the continuous polymerization of monomers in carbon dioxide, particularly liquid and supercritical carbon dioxide. Moreover, there is a need to remove polymer from a high pressure reaction system in a more efficient manner than currently available. In particular, it would be desirable to separate polymer from high pressure reaction fluid with minimal reduction in pressure of the reaction fluid. Such separation would allow the fluid to be more effectively recycled to an upstream reactor.

### **Summary of the Invention**

In one aspect, the invention provides a method for continuously separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream. The method comprises subjecting the high pressure fluid stream comprising polymer particles to a filter, wherein the filter  
5 segregates the high pressure fluid stream from the polymer particles; subjecting the polymer particles to a rotating device which transports the polymer particles away from the filter, wherein the polymer particles are exposed to thermal conditions sufficient to melt the polymer particles and form a seal surrounding at least a portion of the rotating device; and separating the molten polymer from the rotating device.  
10 Advantageously, the method is carried out such that the separation of polymer from the high pressure fluid stream occurs under steady-state.

In another aspect, the invention provides an apparatus for continuously separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream. The apparatus comprises a filter for segregating polymer particles from the high pressure fluid stream; an inlet in  
15 communication to the filter to introduce the polymer particles and the high pressure fluid stream thereto; a first outlet connected to the filter for withdrawing the high pressure fluid stream therefrom; a rotating device in communication with the filter for withdrawing the polymer particles from the filter; a heater in communication with the rotating device to melt the polymer particles such that a melt seal is formed  
20 around at least a portion of the rotating device; and a second outlet in communication with the rotating device for withdrawing molten polymer therefrom. The apparatus is configured such that the separation of polymer from the high pressure fluid stream occurs under steady-state.

The present invention is explained in greater detail by the embodiments in the  
25 drawings herein and the specification set forth below.

### **Brief Description of the Drawings**

**Fig. 1** is a schematic diagram of a small-scale continuous polymerization apparatus employing filter separators, without recycle of the reaction medium.

30 **Fig. 2.** GC Analysis for the Attainment of Steady State for Polymerization of VF2. The points are experimental data. The polymerization conditions were  $P = 276$

bar,  $T = 75\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $v_{\text{CO}_2} = 26\text{ g/min}$ ,  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{INLET}} = 0.77\text{ M}$ ,  $[\text{DEPDC}]_{\text{INLET}} = 3\text{ mM}$ , and  $\tau = 21\text{ minutes}$ .

**Fig. 3.** Effect of agitation on the monomer conversion ( $X$ ). The points are experimental data and the line is a linear least-squares regression fit to the points. The polymerization conditions were  $P = 276\text{ bar}$ ,  $T = 75\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $v_{\text{CO}_2} = 26\text{ g/min}$ ,  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{INLET}} = 0.77\text{ M}$ ,  $[\text{EPDC}]_{\text{INLET}} = 3\text{ mM}$ , and  $\tau = 21\text{ minutes}$ . = Dispersimax™ Impeller, = Upward pumping impeller.

**Fig. 4.** Plot of  $R_p$  versus  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{OUT}}^{1.0}$  to show first order dependence of polymerization rate on monomer concentration. The points are experimental data and the line is a linear least-squares regression fit to the points. The polymerization conditions were  $P = 276\text{ bar}$ ,  $T = 75\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $v_{\text{CO}_2} = 26\text{ g/min}$ ,  $[\text{EPDC}]_{\text{INLET}} = 3\text{ mM}$ , and  $\tau = 21\text{ minutes}$ .

**Fig. 5.** Effect of inlet initiator concentration,  $[\text{I}]_{\text{IN}}$ , on the monomer conversion ( $X$ ). The points are experimental data. The polymerization conditions were  $P = 276\text{ bar}$ ,  $T = 75\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $v_{\text{CO}_2} = 26\text{ g/min}$ ,  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{INLET}} = 0.77\text{ M}$ , and  $\tau = 21\text{ minutes}$ .

**Fig. 6.** Plot of  $R_p/[\text{VF2}]_{\text{OUT}}$  versus  $[\text{I}]_{\text{OUT}}^{0.5}$  to show square root dependence of polymerization rate on initiator concentration. The points are experimental data and the line is a linear least-squares regression fit to the points. The polymerization conditions were the same as Figure 5.

**Fig. 7.** Plot of  $\ln k_p/k_i^{0.5}$  versus  $1/T$  to show fit with respect to kinetic analysis. The line is a linear least-squares regression fit to the points. The polymerization conditions were  $p_{\text{CO}_2} = 0.74\text{ g/ml}$ ,  $v_{\text{CO}_2} = 26\text{ g/min}$ ,  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{INLET}} = 0.77\text{ M}$ ,  $[\text{DEPDC}]_{\text{INLET}} = 3.0\text{ mM}$ , and  $\tau = 21\text{ minutes}$ .

**Fig. 8.** Effect of polymerization temperature on the rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ). The points are experimental data. The line is model equation 12 for the  $R_p$ . The experimental conditions are provided in Figure 7.

**Fig. 9.** Effect of mean reactor residence time,  $\tau$ , on the rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ). The points are experimental data. The line is model equation 12 for the  $R_p$ . The polymerization conditions were  $P = 276\text{ bar}$ ,  $T = 75\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $v_{\text{CO}_2} = 26\text{ g/min}$ ,  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{INLET}} = 0.77\text{ M}$  and  $[\text{DEPDC}]_{\text{INLET}} = 3.0\text{ mM}$ .

**Fig. 10.** Parity plot showing the fit of all experimental data to that predicted from model equation 12.

**Fig. 11.** Effect of outlet monomer concentration,  $[VF2]_{OUT}$ , on the number and weight average molecular weights,  $M_N$  and  $M_W$  determined experimentally by GPC. The points are experimental data. The polymerization conditions are the same as Figure 8.

**Fig. 12** is a schematic diagram of a large-scale continuous polymerization apparatus employing cyclone separators, with recycle of the reaction medium.

**Fig. 13** is a schematic diagram of a separator in the form of a plurality of parallel filters that allows for collection of polymer and recycle of reaction medium in accordance with a method of the invention.

**Fig. 14** is a schematic diagram of a separator in the form of a continuously stirred device that allows for collection of polymer and recycle of reaction medium in accordance with a method of the invention.

**Fig. 15** is a schematic diagram of a separator in the form of a cyclone in combination with a filter which serves to separate polymer from the reaction medium, allowing for the reaction medium to be recycled.

**Fig. 16** is a graph comparing the dimensionless exit age distribution function  $E(\theta)$  versus  $\theta$  for an ideal CSTR and an experimental reactor.

**Fig. 17** is a schematic diagram of a continuous loop reactor which may be employed in accordance with the invention.

**Fig. 18** is a schematic diagram of an apparatus for separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream which is oriented vertically utilizing a single-screw extruder.

**Fig. 19** is a schematic diagram of an apparatus for separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream which is oriented horizontally utilizing a single-screw extruder.

**Fig. 20** is a schematic diagram of an apparatus for separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream which is oriented vertically utilizing a twin-screw extruder.

### **Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments**

The invention will now be described in detail with respect to the embodiments set forth herein. It should be appreciated that these embodiments merely describe the invention and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention as defined by the  
5 claims. In the drawings, like numbers refer to like elements throughout.

The present invention may be carried out with any reaction that produces a solid polymer product, typically as a particulate, in the reaction vessel. Example polymerization reactions, the monomers employed, and the polymers produced, include but are not limited to those described in U.S. Patent No. 5,679,737 to  
10 DeSimone et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,780,565 to Clough et al. (the disclosures of all patent references cited herein are to be incorporated herein by reference).

Preferably, the monomer is a vinyl monomer. Examples of vinyl monomers are numerous and include, but are not limited to, aromatic vinyl monomers, conjugated diene monomers, unsaturated acid monomers, nitrogen-based monomers,  
15 non-aromatic unsaturated monocarboxylic ester monomers, as well as fluorinated monomers. Mixtures of any of these monomers may be employed to allow formation of copolymers, terpolymers, etc.

For the purposes of the invention, the term "aromatic vinyl monomer" is to be broadly interpreted and include, for example, aryl and heterocyclic monomers.  
20 Exemplary aromatic vinyl monomers which may be employed include, for example, styrene and styrene derivatives such as alpha-methyl styrene, p-methyl styrene, vinyl toluene, ethylstyrene, tert-butyl styrene, monochlorostyrene, dichlorostyrene, vinyl benzyl chloride, vinyl pyridine, fluorostyrene, alkoxystyrenes (e.g., paramethoxystyrene), and the like, along with blends and mixtures thereof.

Suitable conjugated diene monomers that may be used include, but are not limited to, C<sub>4</sub> to C<sub>9</sub> dienes such as, for example, butadiene monomers such as 1,3-butadiene, 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene, 2 chloro-1,3-butadiene, and the like. Blends of the diene monomers can also be used:

A number of unsaturated acid monomers may be used in the continuous  
30 polymerization. Exemplary monomers of this type include, but are not limited to, unsaturated mono- or dicarboxylic acid monomers such as acrylic acid, methacrylic

acid, itaconic acid, fumaric acid, maleic acid, and the like. Derivatives, blends, and mixtures of the above may be used.

Nitrogen-containing monomers which may be used include, for example, acrylamide-based monomers may be employed and include, for example, acrylamide, N-methylolacrylamide, N-methylmethacrylamide, methacrylamide, N-isopropylacrylamide, N-tert-butylacrylamide, N-N'-methylene-bis-acrylamide; alkylated N butoxymethylacrylamide; and nitriles such as acrylonitrile and methacrylonitrile. Methylolacrylamides such as N-methoxymethylacrylamide are also encompassed.

Non-aromatic unsaturated monocarboxylic ester monomers may be polymerized such as, for example, acrylates and methacrylates. The acrylates and methacrylates may include functional groups such as amino groups, hydroxy groups, epoxy groups and the like. Exemplary acrylates and methacrylates include methyl acrylate, methyl methacrylate, ethyl acrylate, ethyl methacrylate, butyl acrylate, butyl methacrylate, 2-ethylhexyl acrylate, glycidyl acrylate, glycidyl methacrylate, hydroxyethyl acrylate, hydroxyethyl methacrylate, hydroxypropyl acrylate, hydroxypropyl methacrylate, isobutyl methacrylate, hydroxybutyl acrylate, hydroxybutyl methacrylate, 3-chloro-2-hydroxybutyl methacrylate, n-propyl methacrylate, and the like. Exemplary amino-functional methacrylates include t-butylamino ethyl methacrylate and dimethylamino ethyl methacrylate. Other monomers such as vinyl esters, vinyl halides, and vinylidene halides may also be used.

Exemplary fluoropolymers are formed from monomers which may include fluoroacrylate monomers such as 2-(N-ethylperfluorooctane- sulfonamido) ethyl acrylate ("EtFOSEA"), 2-(N-ethylperfluorooctane- sulfonamido) ethyl methacrylate ("EtFOSEMA"), 2-(N-methylperfluorooctane- sulfonamido) ethyl acrylate ("MeFOSEA"), 2-(N-methylperfluorooctane- sulfonamido) ethyl methacrylate ("MeFOSEMA"), 1,1'-dihydroperfluorooctyl acrylate ("FOA"), 1,1'-dihydroperfluorooctyl methacrylate ("FOMA"), 1,1',2,2'-tetrahydroperfluoroalkylacrylate, 1,1',2,2'-tetrahydroperfluoroalkyl- methacrylate and other fluoromethacrylates; fluorostyrene monomers such as  $\alpha$ -fluorostyrene and 2,4,6-trifluoromethylstyrene; fluoroalkylene oxide monomers such as

hexafluoropropylene oxide and perfluorocyclohexane oxide; fluoroolefins such as tetrafluoroethylene, vinylidene fluoride, hexafluoropropylene, and chlorotrifluoroethylene; and fluorinated alkyl vinyl ether monomers such as perfluoro(propyl vinyl ether) and perfluoro(methyl vinyl ether).

- 5 A vast number of copolymers may be formed from any of the above monomers, the selection of which is known to one skilled in the art. In one embodiment, copolymers of maleic anhydride may be formed. Particularly preferred copolymers include, without limitation, styrene/maleic anhydride. Suitable copolymers include, without limitation, fluorinated ethylene propylene copolymer  
10 (copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene and hexafluoropropylene), perfluoroalkoxy polymer (a copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene and a perfluoropropylvinylether or perfluoromethylvinylether), sulfur dioxide alternating copolymers such as those with olefins including, without limitation, butene or norbornene, and alternating copolymers of ethylene with tetrafluoroethylene. Other preferred copolymers include,  
15 without limitation, the following:

- ethylene/propylene/diene monomer
- ethylene/tetrafluoroethylene
- vinylidene fluoride/hexafluoropropylene
- 20 styrene/acrylonitrile
- acrylonitrile/butadiene/styrene
- styrene/butadiene
- styrene/acrylonitrile
- acrylonitrile/butadiene/styrene
- 25 styrene/polybutadiene (e.g., high impact polystyrene)
- ethylene/ $\alpha$ -olefins
- ethylene/vinyl acetate
- ethylene/acrylate monomer/methacrylate monomer
- vinyl chloride/vinylidene chloride
- 30 vinyl chloride/vinyl acetate
- butadiene/acrylonitrile
- ethylene/tetrafluoroethylene (TFE)



tetrafluoroethylene/hexafluoropropylene  
 tetrafluoroethylene/vinyl ether monomer  
 tetrafluoroethylene/functional vinyl ether monomer  
 vinylidene fluoride/tetrafluoroethylene

5           Initiators that may be used in the invention are numerous and known to those skilled in the art. Examples of initiators are set forth in U.S. Patent No. 5,506,317 to DeSimone et al., the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. Organic free radical initiators are preferred and include, but are not limited to, the following: acetylcyclohexanesulfonyl peroxide; diethyl peroxydicarbonate;  
 10   diacetyl peroxydicarbonate; dicyclohexyl peroxydicarbonate; di-2-ethylhexyl peroxydicarbonate; tert-butyl perneodecanoate; 2,2'-azobis(methoxy-2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile); tert-butyl perpivalate; dioctanoyl peroxide; dilauroyl peroxide; 2,2'-azobis(2,4-dimethylvaleronitrile); tert-butylazo-2-cyanobutane; dibenzoyl peroxide; tert-butyl per-2-ethylhexanoate; tert-butyl permaleate; 2,2-  
 15   azobis(isobutyronitrile); bis(tert-butylperoxy) cyclohexane; tert-butyl peroxyisopropylcarbonate; tert-butyl peracetate; 2,2-bis(tert-butylperoxy) butane; dicumyl peroxide; ditert- amyl peroxide; di-tert-butyl peroxide; p-methane hydroperoxide; pinane hydroperoxide; cumene hydroperoxide; tert-butyl hydroperoxide; di-ethyl peroxydicarbonate, di-isopropyl peroxydicarbonate; and di-sec-  
 20   butyl peroxydicarbonate.

          Additionally, the invention may accommodate catalyzed reactions such as those employing, without limitation, transition metal catalysts including, for example, iron, nickel, and palladium. If desired, these catalysts can be used in combination with ligands such as monodentate, bidentate, or tridentate ligands, the selection of  
 25   which is known in the art. Examples of such ligands can be found in Serial No. 09/185,891 filed November 4, 1998, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

          Thus, in accordance with the invention, example polymers that may be used in the present invention, and example initiators that may be used for such polymers,  
 30   include but are not limited, those formed from any of the above monomers. In one preferred embodiment, vinylidene fluoride (VF2) and acrylic acid (AA) are polymerized, alone or in combination, utilizing diethyl peroxydicarbonate (DEPDC)

as the free-radical initiator for VF2, and 2,2'-azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) as the free-radical initiator for AA. The initiator may be one that provides the end groups for the polymer chain, and can provide stable end groups to the polymer if desired. In general, the invention may encompass polymerizing monomers not limited to those set forth herein, either by themselves to form homopolymers, or in combination to form, for example, copolymers or terpolymers.

The reaction vessel used to carry out the present invention may be in various forms or configurations. For example, in one embodiment, the reaction vessel may be a stirred or mechanically agitated reaction vessel, more preferably a stirred reaction vessel that behaves as an "ideal" stirred tank reactor (CSTR), or a continuous loop reactor, more preferably a continuous loop reactor that behaves as an "ideal" stirred tank reactor. By "ideal stirred tank reactor" is meant one which sufficiently approximates for commercial conditions a state in which the reactor contents are perfectly mixed so that the system properties are uniform throughout (*e.g.*, for reactor design and analysis purposes). It should be understood by one skilled in the art that an ideal stirred tank reactor may encompass physical configurations other than those described herein. *See, e.g.*, C. Hill, *An Introduction to Chemical Engineering Kinetics and Reactor Design*, page 270 (1977). Another definition of an ideal "stirred tank" reactor (*e.g.*, CSTR) is a reactor whose dimensionless exit age distribution function  $E(\Theta)$ , reaches a maximum value in the dimensionless time interval between about  $\Theta=0$ , 0.05, or 0.10 and about  $\Theta=0.20$ , 0.30, and 0.50 and then declines monotonically after reaching the maximum value. In a preferred embodiment of an ideal CSTR, the cumulative exit age distribution function,  $F$ , has a value between about 0.45 or 0.54 and 0.60 or 0.70 when  $\Theta=1$ . In a preferred embodiment, the dimensionless exit age distribution for an ideal CSTR reaches its maximum at  $\Theta=0$  and has a value of  $F=0.63$  when  $\Theta=1$ . For the purposes of the invention,  $\Theta$  is defined as the actual time divided by the reactor space time, *i.e.*, the time elapsed in processing one reactor volume of feed at specified conditions. It should be appreciated that other embodiments are certainly encompassed within the scope of the invention. *See e.g.*, O. Levenspiel, *Chemical Reaction Engineering*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., pp. 257-269, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, (1999).

In a particular embodiment of the invention, an apparatus for the continuous polymerization of a monomer in carbon dioxide comprises a continuous reaction vessel; at least one inlet line connected to the reaction vessel; an effluent line connected to the reaction vessel; an inlet control valve connected to the effluent line; a first separator and a second separator connected to the inlet control valve, the control valve switchable between (i) a first position in which the first separator is in fluid communication with the effluent line while the second separator is not, and (ii) a second position in which the second separator is in fluid communication with the effluent line while the first separator is not; and a return line connecting each of the first and second separators to the reaction vessel so that liquid or supercritical reaction medium is returned to the reaction vessel from the separators while solid polymer is retained in the separator; and control means operatively associated with the return line for maintaining the reaction medium as a liquid or supercritical fluid in the first and second separators; whereby effluent from the continuous reaction vessel can be (i) continuously passed through the first separator while polymer may be removed from the second separator by switching the inlet control valve to the first position, and (ii) continuously passed through the second separator while polymer may be removed from the first separator by switching the inlet control valve to the second position. The separators may be filter separators or cyclone separators, preferably filter separators. In certain embodiments, a single cyclone separator normally operates continuously, but a plurality operating in parallel can allow for one to be taken off line to be cleaned out, etc. Other features as set forth herein may also be included.

As alluded to above, a continuous loop reactor can be employed and an embodiment of an example of such a system is depicted as **400** in **Fig. 17**. An inlet stream **410** comprising liquid or supercritical carbon dioxide, monomer, and initiator passes through an appropriate opening (e.g., valve, fitting, or the like) **450** and enters the reaction vessel **440**. The reaction vessel comprises inner and outer walls **440a** and **440b** respectively, and in this particular embodiment is in the shape of a loop. Pump **430** facilitates the circulation of the inlet stream throughout the reaction vessel **440**, and ensures that the ingredients are well mixed. In a preferred embodiment, as long as the flow rate of the inlet stream **410** is small compared to the flow rate of the fluid in the loop of the system, the system properties will not vary significantly from point-

to-point in the reaction vessel **440**, i.e., will be uniform throughout. Thus, the performance of the loop reactor will be essentially the same as a stirred or mechanically agitated reactor having system properties that do not vary significantly from point-to-point throughout the reactor. Preferably, the reaction vessel **440** behaves similar to an ideal stirred tank reactor as described in detail herein. As appreciated by one skilled in the art, the reaction vessel **440** may contain mixers, heaters, etc. to enable the ingredients to be maintained at specified temperature and pressure conditions. The monomers react in the reaction vessel **440** to form solid polymer particles. An opening **460** (e.g., a back pressure valve) allows the contents of the reaction vessel **440** to pass out of the vessel. Resulting effluent **460** then may be transported to other downstream processing features (e.g., separators and recycling systems) as set forth herein. Additionally, it should be appreciated that the continuous loop reactor **400** may include any of the apparatus features described in the specification, even though these are features may not be depicted in **Figure 17**.

**Fig. 13** refers to an embodiment in which the separator is present in the form of a plurality of parallel filters, in this example, denoted as **100a** and **100b**. Additional filters may be employed as deemed necessary by one skilled in the art. In this embodiment, effluent stream **120** from the continuous reaction vessel is passed into one of the filters **100a** or **100b** by virtue of the flow being diverted to the desired filter. Polymer is collected in either of the filters and the resulting outgoing stream **130** comprises primarily liquid or supercritical fluid, unreacted initiator (if any), and unreacted monomer (if any). Stream **130** is then repressurized by compressor **110** and the resulting stream **135** is recycled back to the reaction vessel. Although not shown, a purge is preferably present between the filters **100a** and **100b** and the compressor **110** to bleed off a portion of the effluent. When a sufficient amount of polymer is collected on the filter such that the pressure drop becomes undesirably high across the filter, the flow is diverted such that stream **120** passes through the previously-offline filter. Polymer is then collected from the offline filter. The above procedure may be repeated as many times as deemed appropriate by one skilled in the art.

**Fig. 14** illustrates another embodiment of a separator **200** which may be used in accordance with the invention. Effluent stream **210** containing polymer, liquid or supercritical fluid, unreacted monomer (if any), and unreacted initiator (if any) enters

the separator. During the operation of the separator **200**, liquid or supercritical fluid, unreacted monomer (if any), and unreacted initiator (if any) passes through pores **240** in the inside walls **260** of the separator. The walls **260** may be formed from a variety of materials that are porous including, without limitation, sintered metal, ceramic, etc.

5 Upon passing through the walls **260**, the fluid stream enters chamber **270** and leaves this chamber through exit line **280**. The stream leaving through **280** may be disposed of as deemed appropriate. As an example, the stream may be recycled to the reaction vessel. Advantageously, the size of the pores are such that polymer does not pass through, but instead collects on the inside surface of the walls **260**.

10 A rotating device **220** with drive **225** may be present in various configurations is present in the separator and serves to continuously remove the polymer that collects on the inside surface of the walls **260**. In this embodiment, the rotating device **220** is present in the form of a screw, although other types of devices can be employed within the scope of the invention. The screw **220** removes the polymer from the wall

15 **260** and conveys the polymer through the bottom **250** of the separator. A solid wall **290** surrounds the bottom of the screw as depicted in **Fig. 14**. In order to minimize loss of reaction medium and unreacted monomer and initiator through bottom **250**, the screw **220** is designed to melt the polymer and form a seal in the screw **220**. The molten polymer is conveyed through exit **250** from the high pressure region of the

20 device to an essentially ambient pressure region, where it is cooled and processed by techniques known to one skilled in the art. Thus, the separator **200** may operate in a continuous fashion.

A preferred embodiment for a cyclone-type separator **300** is depicted in **FIG. 15**. In this embodiment, cyclone **310** is in fluid communication with parallel filters

25 **320a** and **320b** positioned downstream of the cyclone **310**. Incoming effluent stream **330** containing liquid or supercritical fluid, unreacted monomer (if any), and unreacted initiator (if any) enters the cyclone **310** which results in the formation of a bottom stream **340** containing a relatively high percentage of polymer and a top stream **350** containing primarily liquid or supercritical fluid, unreacted monomer (if

30 any), and unreacted initiator (if any), along with polymer. The top stream **350** is diverted to either of filters **320a** or **320b** in a manner described hereinabove (e.g., see **Fig. 13**). This arrangement allows for the removal of polymer from stream **350** such

that the resulting exit stream **360** contains a sufficiently low level of polymer such that it is suitable for recycle to the reaction vessel, if so desired. Polymer may then be collected from any of the filters **320a** and **320b** using appropriate techniques.

In one embodiment of the invention, the method for carrying out the continuous polymerization of a monomer in carbon dioxide comprises the steps of: (a) providing an apparatus including a continuous reaction vessel, a first separator, and a second separator; (b) carrying out a polymerization reaction in the reaction vessel by combining a monomer, an initiator, and a carbon dioxide reaction medium therein, wherein the reaction medium is a liquid or supercritical fluid, and wherein the reaction produces a solid polymer product in the reaction vessel; then (c) withdrawing a continuous effluent stream from the reaction vessel during the polymerization reaction, passing at least a portion of the effluent stream through the first separator while maintaining the effluent stream as a liquid or supercritical fluid and separating the solid polymer therefrom; and then returning at least a portion effluent stream to the reaction vessel; and then (d) withdrawing a portion of the continuous effluent stream from the reaction vessel during the polymerization reaction, passing the effluent stream through the second separator while maintaining the effluent stream as a liquid or supercritical fluid and separating the solid polymer therefrom, and then returning at least a portion of the effluent stream to the reaction vessel, while concurrently removing the solid polymer separated in the first separator during the withdrawing step (c). Preferably, step (d) is followed by the step of: (e) repeating the withdrawing step (c) while concurrently removing the solid polymer separated in the second separator during the withdrawing step (d). Preferably, an initiator is employed in step (b). Preferably, a purge is located in a return polymerization line between the separator(s) and the reaction vessel so as to remove an amount of the effluent stream as deemed appropriate by one skilled in the art. In these preferred embodiments, the at least a portion of effluent that is returned to the reaction vessel is typically a fraction less than one.

Any suitable system or apparatus may be used as the control means for maintaining said reaction medium as a liquid or supercritical fluid in the separator and the return line (and preferably at a pressure not more than 50 or 100 psi different from the pressure in said reaction vessel during polymerization of monomer therein).



5 "mixtures" refers to combinations, blends, etc. of the polymers, including those which are miscible, immiscible, and partially miscible or immiscible. In various preferred embodiments, the polymer particles include at least one polymer which may be a homopolymer, a copolymer, a plurality of homopolymers, a plurality of copolymers, a mixture of one or more homopolymers and one or more copolymers, or any mixtures  
10 of the above. In various preferred embodiments, the polymer particles include at least one polymer formed from a precipitation, microemulsion, emulsion, suspension, or dispersion polymerization reaction.

The polymer particles may be formed by any number of processes or techniques including, without limitation, those described herein. As an example, in one embodiment, are formed as a result of a continuous polymerization method in fluid communication with the method for continuously separating the polymer particles from the high pressure fluid stream. The term "particles" is to be broadly construed and encompass, without limitation, any portions, amounts, parts, and/or shares of polymer which may be regular or irregular in shape and/or size. In a preferred embodiment, the particles range in size from about 0.01, 0.01, 1 microns to about 10, 100, or 1000 microns.

The high pressure fluid stream preferably comprises gaseous, liquid, or supercritical carbon dioxide, as well as mixtures of such states. The term "high pressure" preferably refers to the fluid stream being present at a pressure ranging from about 1000 or 2000 psi at a lower end to about 3,000, 4,000 or 5000 psi at a higher end. Preferably, the step of subjecting the high pressure fluid stream comprising the polymer particles to a filter includes separating the high pressure fluid stream such that it is present at the high pressure as it separates from the polymer particles.

In various preferred embodiments, the high pressure fluid stream optionally includes one or more monomers including, without limitation, those described herein. In other various preferred embodiments, the high pressure fluid stream optionally includes one or more initiators including, without limitation, those described herein.



The step of subjecting the polymer particles to the rotation device may be carried out under a variety of thermal conditions. In a preferred embodiment for example, the step may be at a temperature ranging from about 20°C, 50°C, 75°C, 100°C, or 200°C at a lower end, to about 100°C, 200°C, 300°C, or 350 °C at a higher  
 5 end.

The step of separating the molten polymer from the rotating device may encompass other optional embodiments. In one preferred embodiment, for example, the step of separating the molten polymer from the rotating device includes discharging the molten polymer at a pressure ranging from about 0, 10, or 20 psi at a  
 10 lower end to about 300, 400, or 500 psi at a higher end above ambient pressure.

In another aspect, the invention provides an apparatus for continuously separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream. The apparatus comprises a filter for segregating polymer particles from the high pressure fluid stream; an inlet in communication with the filter to introduce the polymer particles and the high pressure  
 15 fluid stream thereto; a first outlet connected to the filter for withdrawing the high pressure fluid stream therefrom; a rotating device in communication with the filter for withdrawing the polymer particles from the filter; a heater in communication with the rotating device to melt the polymer particles such that a melt seal is formed around at least a portion of the rotating device; and a second outlet in communication  
 20 with the rotating device for withdrawing molten polymer therefrom. The apparatus is configured such that the separation of polymer from the high pressure fluid stream occurs under steady-state.

One embodiment of the apparatus is illustrated in **Fig. 14**. Another embodiment of the apparatus is illustrated in **Fig. 18** denoted as **500**. A filter **510** for segregating polymer particles from a high pressure fluid stream is present and a shaft  
 25 capable of rotation **520** having a plurality of blades **530** is connect to the shaft and extends therefrom. The shaft and blades are preferably formed of steel, and more preferably corrosion resistant steel such as, for example, stainless steel (e.g., 304 or 316 stainless) or a nickel-containing steel. (e.g., "Hastelloy").

30 In a preferred embodiment, two to four blades are employed for the elements designated as **530**. The blades **530** are preferably perpendicular to the surface of filter **510**. The clearance between blades **530** and filter **510** is preferably sufficient such

As alluded to above, a rotating device **570** is located downstream of the filter **510** and is in communication with the filter. The rotating device serves to withdraw the polymer particles from the filter **510**. In the embodiment illustrated in **Fig. 18**, the rotating device is present in the form of an extruder, and more specifically a single-screw extruder. As shown, the single-screw extruder is attached to the shaft present in the filter.

- 18 -

It should be appreciated though that other configurations may be employed for the rotating device 570 without departing from the scope of the invention. As an example, a twin-screw extruder can be employed. In communication with one of the ends of the shaft 580 of rotating device 570, is a drive motor 590 to cause rotation of the shaft of the single screw extruder and the shaft 520 present in the filter 510.

Referring again to Fig. 18, a second housing 600 surrounds the rotating device 570. Preferably in this embodiment, the second housing 600 is present in the form of an extruder barrel which is threaded at one end in order to attach to first housing 560 (denoted as 610) such that the first and second housings form an integral structure.

A heater 620 surrounds second housing 600. The heater 620 may be one which is known to a person skilled in the art, and may be present in the form of a plurality of electrical elements for example. Although not intending to be bound by theory, by virtue of the heating of the polymer particles and the rotation of device 570, the polymer melts and forms a seal surrounding at least a portion of the rotating device 570. The melt seal aids in allowing the high pressure fluid stream to depart through first outlet 550 with minimal if any pressure loss; as an example, the high pressure fluid stream may lose from about 1, 10, or 20 to about 25, 30, or 50 psi.

Also as illustrated in Fig. 18, a second outlet 630 is present and in communication with the rotating device for withdrawing molten polymer therefrom.

Advantageously, the molten polymer is preferably withdrawn at a pressure ranging from about 0, 50, 100, or 200 psi to about 250, 300, 400, or 500 psi above atmospheric. Additionally, a third outlet may be present and in communication with the rotating device to allow for the withdrawal of components that may be present in a fluid state such as, without limitation, carbon dioxide, monomer(s), and initiator(s) which did not exit via first outlet 550. Preferably, the third outlet is present as a rotary screw or stuffer.

Fig. 19 is a schematic diagram of an apparatus for separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream and is oriented horizontally. The apparatus depicted in Fig. 19 is similar in structure and function to the apparatus illustrated in Fig. 18. It should be appreciated by a person skilled in the art that structures other than those set forth in Figs. 18 and 19 can be employed without departing from the scope of the invention.

**Fig. 20** represents another embodiment of an apparatus that can be employed for separating polymer from a high pressure fluid stream. In general, the apparatus set forth in **Fig. 20** is similar to those depicted in **Figs. 18** and **19** except that it employs twin-shafts **520a** and **520b** and blades **530a** and **530b** respectively attached thereto.

5 Twin rotating devices **570a** and **570b** are connected to shafts **520a** and **520b** via shafts **580a** and **580b**. Shafts **580a** and **580b** are in communication with drive motors **590a** and **590b** respectively. Although **Fig. 20** illustrates apparatus **500** in a horizontal orientation, it should be appreciated by one skilled in the art that the apparatus can also be employed in other orientations, e.g., a horizontal orientation.

10 The apparatus for continuously separating polymer particles from a high pressure fluid stream may be used in conjunction with any number of apparatuses or systems which produce such polymer. In one embodiment, for example, the apparatus for continuously separating polymer particles from a high pressure fluid stream is in communication with an apparatus for the continuous polymerization of a  
15 monomer in carbon dioxide including one described herein.

The present invention is explained in greater detail in the following non-limiting Examples.

## EXAMPLE 1

### Chain-Growth Precipitation Polymerizations

20 The experimental system consists of an intensely mixed, continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR), followed by two high-pressure filters in parallel, where the polymer is collected. This method is widely applicable to various monomers in heterogeneous polymerizations, both with and without surfactants. Herein we report  
25 on our experiments with vinylidene fluoride (VF2) performed at a temperature of 75 °C, a pressure of 275 bar, and at residence times from 15 to 50 minutes. The poly(vinylidene fluoride) polymer (PVDF) was collected as a dry "free-flowing" powder, and has been characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC).

**Materials.** VF2 monomer was donated by Solvay Research, Belgium and  
30 SFE/SFC grade CO<sub>2</sub> was donated by Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. All other chemicals were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company.

**Initiator Synthesis.** The DEPDC (diethylperoxydicarbonate) initiator was synthesized as previously reported, using water as a reaction medium and extracting the initiator into Freon 113 (Mageli, O.L.; Sheppard, C.S.; In *Organic Peroxides, Vol. I*, Swern D, Eds.; Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970 pp. 1-104; Hiatt, R. In *Organic Peroxides, Vol. II*, Swern D, Eds.; Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970 pp. 799-929; Strain, F.; Bissinger, W.E.; Dial, W.R.; Rudolf, H.; DeWitt, B.J.; Stevens, H.C.; Langston, J.H. *J.Am.Chem.Soc.* **1950**, 72, 1254-1263). All manipulations of the initiator were performed in an ice bath and the final product was stored in a cold chest at -20°C. The iodine titration technique, ASTM Method E 298-91, was utilized to determine the concentration of active peroxide in the solution.

**Polymerization Apparatus.** A schematic of the equipment used for the polymerization is shown in **Fig. 1**. Carbon dioxide **14** and monomer **15** are pumped continuously by Isco syringe pumps **16** and **17** in constant flow mode and mixed by an 8-element static mixer **8**, before entering the reactor **18**. The initiator solution is also pumped continuously by an Isco syringe pump **19** in constant flow mode, and enters the reactor **18** as a separate stream. All feed lines have check-valves to prevent back-flow, thermocouples, and rupture disks for safety in case of overpressurization. The CSTR is an 800 mL Autoclave Engineers (AE) autoclave with a magdrive to provide mixing of ingredients with an AE dispersimax impeller. The reactor is heated by a furnace, has an installed pressure transducer (Druck) and a thermowell containing a thermocouple (Omega Engineering). **Fig. 1** depicts a continuous stirred tank reactor **18**. It should be appreciated that other reactors can be employed in the system depicted in **Fig. 1** such as, without limitation, a continuous loop reactor as referred to herein.

The effluent stream leaves the CSTR **18** through the bottom, and is directed by a 3-way ball-valve **10** (HIP) to one of two 280 mL filter housings (Headline) containing 1  $\mu$ m filters where the solid polymer is collected. Unreacted monomer, initiator and CO<sub>2</sub> pass through the filters and flow through a heated control valve **12** (Badger). This control valve functions as a back-pressure regulator, which controls the reactor pressure at the desired set-point. The effluent stream passes through a water bath to remove unreacted peroxide, while the gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> and monomer is

safely vented into a fume-hood. Very low levels of polymer were found in the water bath, so essentially all precipitated polymer was collected on the 1  $\mu\text{m}$  filters.

The entire polymerization apparatus **20** was computer controlled and monitored. The supervisory control and data-acquisition (SCADA) system consists of National Instruments BridgeVIEW software and Fieldpoint input/output modules. Input modules were utilized for reading pressure transducers and thermocouples. Output modules were utilized to control the reactor furnace, and the control valve. All control functions were performed utilizing PID algorithms.

**Polymerization Procedure.** The reactor was first heated to the desired temperature and the agitator was set to 1800 revolutions per minute (RPM). The system was then purged with  $\text{N}_2$ . After about 2 hours, the control valve was closed and the system was pressurized with  $\text{CO}_2$  to the desired reactor pressure. The desired  $\text{CO}_2$  flow rate was set and the temperature and pressure of the system were allowed to stabilize. Temperature control was  $\pm 0.2$   $^\circ\text{C}$ , while pressure control was  $\pm 1$  bar. When the system had stabilized, the initiator flowrate was set and initiator was allowed to flow through the system for at least 3 residence times in order to remove impurities. Monomer flow was then started. At least 5 residence times after the introduction of monomer, with the CSTR at steady-state, the 3-way ball valve was switched and the stream exiting the CSTR was fed to the empty filter/collector, where steady-state polymer was collected for between 30 and 60 minutes. After this time, the ball valve was switched so that effluent flowed to the original collector, and the monomer and initiator feed streams were stopped so that only pure  $\text{CO}_2$  was fed through the system for cleaning. The system was finally vented and the polymer collected and weighed.

**Results and Discussion.** We have developed and demonstrated a continuous, once through system for precipitation polymerizations in  $\text{scCO}_2$ , as shown in Figure 1. **Table 1** shows the reactor conditions for several experiments with VF2 polymerization initiated by DEPDC. **Table 2** provides the polymerization results and GPC data for the poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) polymer produced in these experiments. The conversion of VF2 in these polymerizations (Conversion = moles of monomer reacted/moles of monomer fed) ranged from 7 to 24%. Unlike a batch polymerization, high conversions are not required for a continuous system, as the

- monomer is recycled. The rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ) for the CSTR system reached a maximum of  $19 \times 10^{-5}$  mol/L·s, at a feed monomer concentration of 1.7 mol/L. This rate will increase as the concentration of monomer is increased. In the batch polymerization of VF2 in scCO<sub>2</sub>, the average  $R_p$  at 3.0 M monomer concentration, using an acyl peroxide initiator at 65° C, was  $0.2 \times 10^{-5}$  mol/(L·s) (Kipp, B. PhD Thesis, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill. 1998).
- 5

**Table 1. Reactor Conditions for Polymerization of Vinylidene Fluoride.**

| Run # | Pressure (bar) | Temp (°C) | $\tau$ * (min) | $M_{CO_2}$ ** (g/min) | $m_{Initiator}$ ** (mg/min) | $m_{VF_2}$ ** (g/min) | $[VF_2]_{INLET}$ (mol/L) |
|-------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 1     | 276            | 75        | 21             | 26.4                  | 4.9                         | 1.9                   | 0.77                     |
| 2     | 276            | 75        | 28             | 19.9                  | 14.7                        | 1.4                   | 0.77                     |
| 3     | 276            | 75        | 21             | 26.5                  | 19.5                        | 1.9                   | 0.77                     |
| 4     | 276            | 75        | 21             | 26.5                  | 32                          | 1.9                   | 0.77                     |
| 5     | 276            | 75        | 14             | 39.8                  | 29.2                        | 2.0                   | 0.77                     |
| 6     | 276            | 75        | 20             | 26.5                  | 19.6                        | 3.8                   | 1.45                     |
| 7     | 276            | 75        | 22             | 26.5                  | 19.7                        | 0.94                  | 0.40                     |

\*  $\tau$  = reactor residence time = reactor volume/total volumetric flow rate

\*\*m = mass flow rate

5

**Table 2. Polymerization Results and Polymer Characterization Data for PVDF.**

| Run # | X (%)* | $R_p$ (mol/L·s) (x 10 <sup>5</sup> )** | $M_n$ (x 10 <sup>-3</sup> ) | $M_w$ (x 10 <sup>-3</sup> ) | $M_w/M_n$ |
|-------|--------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1     | 6.9    | 4.0                                    | 20                          | 33                          | 1.7       |
| 2     | 18.4   | 7.9                                    | 15                          | 21                          | 1.4       |
| 3     | 18     | 10.3                                   | 14                          | 21                          | 1.5       |
| 4     | 24     | 13.7                                   | 12                          | 17                          | 1.4       |
| 5     | 11     | 9.5                                    | 18                          | 45                          | 2.5       |
| 6     | 16     | 16.7                                   | 29                          | 60                          | 2.1       |
| 7     | 20     | 5.9                                    | 10.5                        | 15                          | 1.4       |

\*Conversion (X) was determined gravimetrically from steady-state polymer collection.

\*\*Rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ) was calculated by  $R_p = ([VF_2]_{INLET} - [VF_2]_{OUTLET})/\tau$

10

The GPC results indicated that the molecular weight distributions (MWDs) were unimodal.

15



**Conclusions.** This example describes a system for the continuous polymerization of various monomers in scCO<sub>2</sub>. The feasibility of the continuous precipitation polymerization of VF<sub>2</sub> and AA has been demonstrated using an intensely-agitated, continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR). Rates of polymerization of VF<sub>2</sub> in the CSTR are significantly higher than the average rates of batch polymerization, under similar conditions.

## EXAMPLE 2

### Continuous Precipitation Polymerization of Vinylidene Fluoride in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide: Comparison of Experimental to Model R<sub>p</sub>

This example describes the heterogeneous polymerization of vinylidene fluoride. Poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) is a semicrystalline polymer and is produced commercially by either emulsion or suspension batch techniques at polymerization conditions of between 10-200 bar at temperatures from 10-130 °C (Dohany, J.E. and J.S. Humphrey, *Vinylidene Fluoride Polymers*, in *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering*, H.F. Mark, *et al.*, Editors. 1989, Wiley: New York. p. 532-548; Russo, S., M. Pianca, and G. Moggi, *Poly(vinylidene fluoride)*, in *Polymeric Materials Encyclopedia*, J.C. Salamone, Editor. 1996, CRC: Boca Raton. p. 7123-7127). The emulsion technique requires that the final polymer latex be first coagulated, thoroughly washed, then spray-dried before a free-flowing powder is obtained. The suspension technique requires separation of the polymer from the water phase, thorough washing, then drying. Vinylidene fluoride monomer normally contains no inhibitors and PVDF polymer does not require additives for stabilization during melt-processing, thereby qualifying this polymer for applications such as ultrapure water systems where high purity materials are required. Due to the inherent disadvantages of the traditional techniques for preparing PVDF, such as additives required for polymerization and difficult to treat waste streams, a continuous environmentally-friendly process is attractive.

This example also describes the kinetics and mechanism of VF<sub>2</sub> polymerization initiated by the organic peroxide, diethyl peroxydicarbonate (DEPDC), using the novel highly agitated continuous system of the present invention. Not intending to be bound by theory, the information gained in this work is useful for

developing predictive kinetic models that can describe the rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ) and molecular weight distribution (MWD) for experimental conditions of interest. It should be noted that until now, there has been no systematic investigation of the kinetics of free-radical polymerizations carried out in  $\text{CO}_2$ , either in batch, or using a CSTR. As well, very little polymerization data is present in the literature on the PVDF system in particular, and fluorinated monomers in general. We report here on experiments that have been performed at stirring rates from 1300-2700 rpm, initiator inlet concentrations ranging from 8-50 ( $\times 10^{-4}$ ) M, monomer inlet concentrations ranging from 0.4-3.5 M, temperatures ranging from 65-80 °C (at constant  $\text{CO}_2$  densities of 0.74 g/ml), and residence times from 10 to 50 minutes. The polymer was collected in all cases as a dry "free-flowing" powder, and has been characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC).

## 1. Materials and Methods.

*Materials.* VF2 monomer was provided by Solvay Research, Belgium and SFE/SFC grade  $\text{CO}_2$  was provided by Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. All other chemicals were obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company.

*Initiator Synthesis.* The DEPDC initiator was synthesized as previously reported, using water as the reaction medium and extracting the initiator into Freon 113 (HPLC Grade) (Mageli, O.L. and C.S. Sheppard, *Organic Peroxides*, ed. D. Swern. Vol. I. 1970, New York: Wiley-Interscience. 1-104.; Hiatt, R., *Organic Peroxides*, ed. Swern D. Vol. II. 1970, New York: Wiley-Interscience. 799-929). All manipulations of the initiator were performed in an ice bath and the final product was stored in a cold chest at -20 °C. The iodine titration technique, ASTM Method E 298-91, was utilized to determine the concentration of active peroxide in the solution.

*Polymerization Apparatus.* The equipment and the polymerization procedure is described in **Example 1** above. Modifications to the system for the instant example include: a) replacing the reactor furnace with a temperature jacket (Autoclave Engineers) through which heating/cooling fluid is circulated to provide superior temperature control for the reactor; b) addition of a gas chromatograph (SRI 8610C) which samples the exit stream (after filtration) directly through a HPLC valve (Valco). The GC column is a silica column while the oven temperature was

isothermal at 55°C; and c) addition of a counter-current heat exchanger on the effluent line of the CSTR to cool the exiting polymer stream to ambient temperature.

*GPC.* All gel permeation chromatography (GPC) measurements of the PVDF polymer samples were performed on a Waters-Alliance HPLC system with 2x HR5E and 1x HR2E columns using N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) modified with LiBr 0.1M. The following conditions were adopted: 1) column compartment temperature at 40 °C, 2) flow rate of mobile phase, 1 ml/min. 3) sample injection volume, 100 µl, 4) no sample filtering 5) sample concentration of 0.1 wt % in mobile phase (samples are conditioned in mobile phase at 60°C for one hour but can be injected at room temperature). Calibration of the GPC was performed at 40 °C directly with a calibration curve obtained using narrow MWD PMMA standards purchased from Polymer Laboratories Ltd. The Mark-Houwink constants for the universal calibration curve were  $K = 1.32 \cdot 10^{-4}$ ,  $a = 0.674$  for PMMA and  $K = 1.14 \cdot 10^{-5}$ ,  $a = 0.97$  for PVDF.

*Polymerization Control.* Polymerization takes place in our continuous system in a highly-agitated CSTR, where CO<sub>2</sub>, VF2 and DEPDC are continuously fed to the reactor and mixed under isothermal conditions, while the produced heterogeneous polymer, i.e. PVDF, as well as CO<sub>2</sub> and unreacted VF2 and DEPDC, continuously leave the reactor. No recycle is presently implemented (both for simplicity and to prevent the buildup of impurities). Control of the reactor temperature (T) and pressure (P) was excellent during a polymerization, varying within very close tolerances ( $T = \pm 0.2$  °C and  $P = \pm 1$  bar). Feed rates of initiator and monomer from the syringe pumps are  $\pm 0.1\%$ .

*Attainment of Steady-State.* In order to determine the attainment of steady-state (SS), both gas-chromatograph (GC) analysis and varying the polymer collection time was used. The CO<sub>2</sub> and VF2 peaks could be separated by GC. Calibration of the GC was performed using CO<sub>2</sub> and VF2 flowrates determined by the syringe pumps. Densities of VF2 and CO<sub>2</sub> in the cooled syringe pumps (cooling the syringe pumps by chiller circulators allows for easier condensing of liquified gases) and heated reactor were determined from data provided by Solvay for VF2 (Peng-Robinson equation of state) while CO<sub>2</sub> densities were determined from US National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) data.

## 2. Results and Discussion

*Attainment of Steady-State.* **Fig. 2** shows a GC analysis used to determine the attainment of steady-state for a typical polymerization run. In this figure the effluent VF2 concentration is measured as a function of time, in units of the reactor residence time,  $\tau$ . For a typical polymerization run, steady-state was attained after about 5  $\tau$ . Polymer collection was normally initiated after 5  $\tau$ 's by switching to the SS collector. After SS polymer collection was complete, the exit stream was turned back to the non-SS filter such that SS polymer was not mixed with non-SS polymer. After the reactor had been on stream for at least 5  $\tau$ 's, collection of polymer for varying time lengths was found to give identical polymer weight/collection time ratios, confirming the results from the GC analysis.

*Phase Behavior.* Under the experimental conditions studied, the monomer, VF2 (HFC-1132a), and the free-radical initiator, DEPDC, were found to be miscible with CO<sub>2</sub> while the formed polymer powder, PVDF, is immiscible in CO<sub>2</sub> or in VF2, for all experimental ranges studied (by off-line studies in a high-pressure view cell)(Lora, M., J.S. Lim, and M.A. McHugh, *Comparison of the solubility of PVF and PVDF in Supercritical CH<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> and in CO<sub>2</sub> with Acetone, Dimethyl Ether, and Ethanol*. J. Phys. Chem. B., 1999. **103**(14): p. 2818-2822). This phase behavior defines a precipitation polymerization. As mentioned in **Example 1**, all formed polymer powder was collected by the 1  $\mu$ m filters, with very low levels of polymer being found in the exit water bath. After each reaction, the inside of the reactor was normally very lightly coated with powder. The thin powder layer was always dry, not tacky, and could easily be wiped off the reactor walls. No sticky film formation was observed and the wall temperature of the reactor never exceeded the melting point of the polymer. Under these experimental conditions, no evidence was obtained that the powder was building up on the reactor walls, or in the reactor, as the powder layer was always very thin and experiments varying the collection time of the steady-state stream gave identical polymer weight/collection time ratios.

*RTD and Initiator Decomposition Studies.* The residence time distribution (RTD) of the experimental reactor was determined as well as the decomposition kinetics of the DEPDC free-radical initiator. For all conditions studied, the RTD of the reactor was found to model that of an ideal CSTR. These experiments were

performed in pure CO<sub>2</sub>, under typical experimental conditions of T and P, without the presence of any polymer powder.

**Table 3** provides the initiator decomposition rate constants for DEPDC in scCO<sub>2</sub>. It should be noted that no significant solvent dependence was observed for decomposition of DEPDC in scCO<sub>2</sub> compared to the literature value that used radical scavenging 2,2'-Oxydiethylene bis(allyl carbonate) as solvent (Strain, F., *et al.*, *Esters of Peroxycarbonic Acids*. J. Amer. Chem.Soc., 1950. **72**: p. 1254-1263). The initiator efficiency found,  $f = 0.6$ , is also very typical for an organic peroxide (Hamielec, A.E. and H. Tobita, *Polymerization Processes*. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*. 1992. 331). For the kinetic analysis of PVDF polymerization presented in this paper, the  $k_d$ 's from **Table 3** were used, while  $f = 0.6$  was used for the initiator efficiency for all temperatures studied.

**Table 3. Initiator Decomposition Rate Constants**

| Temperature (°C) | $k_D$ ( $\times 10^4$ s) | F    |
|------------------|--------------------------|------|
| 65               | 2.4                      | 0.61 |
| 70               | 4.3                      | 0.69 |
| 75               | 10.3                     | 0.59 |
| 85               | 35.1                     | 0.63 |

*Effect of Agitation on VF2 Polymerization.* Our first polymerization experiments dealt with the effect of agitation on the polymerization. **Fig. 3** provides the effect of stirring rate and agitator type on monomer conversion (X). The 1.25" diameter dispersimax™ agitator, which is a 6-bladed Rushton-type turbine ( $d/D = 0.42$ ), was studied from 1300-2700 rpm. This type of agitator provides mainly radial flow (Geankoplis, C.J., *Transport Processes and Unit Operations*. Third ed. 1993, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall). It is clear that the conversion is not affected by the stirring rate for the region investigated. For the lowest stirring rate investigated, 1300 rpm, an in-house designed pitched-blade turbine agitator also was investigated. This agitator is a 4-bladed, 45° pitch, upward pumping agitator designed to provide a combination of axial and radial flow in order to suspend precipitated particles. This agitator was studied at the lowest rpm to minimize bearing wear in

case of any offset in manufacture. The conversion obtained with this agitator is identical to that obtained with the Dispersimax™ impeller, indicating that no effect of agitator geometry was obtained on conversion, for the conditions studied. In addition to the conversions being identical for the mixing study, PVDF molecular weights (MWs) determined by gel permeation chromatography (GPC), were found to be identical for polymer samples taken at both the lowest RPM studied for both agitators, and the highest RPM studied for the Dispersimax™ impeller. The results from the X and MW data lead us to believe that the kinetics were not effected by mixing in this study. For all subsequent experiments reported, the Dispersimax™ impeller was used at a stirring rate of 1800 rpm.

*Determination of the Rate of Polymerization ( $R_p$ ) Model Equation.* (i) *Determination of Monomer Order.* In order to derive a model equation for the rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ), we must first determine the order of the reaction with respect to both monomer and initiator. The mass balance for monomer around the reactor, modeled as an ideal CSTR, can be simplified to provide the rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ):

$$R_p = \frac{([M]_{IN} - [M]_{OUT})}{\tau} \quad (2)$$

For an ideal CSTR, the reactor concentrations are the same as the outlet concentrations (Levenspiel, O., *Chemical Reaction Engineering*. Second ed. 1972, New York: John Wiley & Sons). For the work reported on here, the outlet monomer concentration was determined by mass-balance (gravimetrically by weighing the polymer collected at steady-state) and confirmed by on-line GC analysis. This allows us to determine  $R_p$  experimentally, as both the inlet monomer concentration and the mean residence time of the reactor  $\tau$  are known. **Fig. 4** provides the plot of  $R_p$  versus  $[VF2]^{1.0}$  which illustrates that this polymerization is first-order with respect to monomer. First-order dependency is generally obtained in free-radical kinetics for monomer consumption (Odián, G., *Principles of Polymerization*. 3rd ed. 1991, New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc).

For subsequent experiments, monomer inlet concentrations of 0.82 M were used. Equation 2 is used for the experimentally determined  $R_p$ 's reported on here.

ii) *Determination of Initiator Order.* The initiator concentration in the reactor, which is identical to the outlet concentration for an ideal CSTR, is given by:

$$[I]_{OUT} = \frac{[I]_{IN}}{1 + k_D \tau} \quad (7)$$

Hence, the concentration of initiator in the reactor is given by the outlet concentration,  $[I]_{OUT}$ , which can be determined from the inlet concentration,  $[I]_{IN}$ , the mean residence time,  $\tau$ , and the decomposition rate constant,  $k_D$  (provided in **Table 3**). **Fig. 5** provides the plot of monomer conversion ( $X$ ) versus the inlet initiator concentration, i.e.  $[I]_{IN}$ . It is evident that the conversion increases with an increase in initiator concentration, as more free radicals are generated to initiate polymer chains.

**Fig. 6** provides the plot of  $R_p$  versus  $[I]_{OUT}^{0.5}$ , which shows that the order of the reaction with respect to initiator is 0.5. However, note that a small offset error occurred,  $[I-I^*]^{0.5}$ . For future calculations,  $[I-I^*]^{0.5}$ , is used to account for this error. For subsequent experiments, initiator inlet concentrations of 3 mM were used.

Half-order dependency is normally obtained in free-radical kinetics for initiator consumption, although conventional heterogeneous polymerizations, such as vinyl chloride or acrylonitrile polymerizations, often show initiator exponents exceeding this classical value (Eastmond, G.C., *Radical Polymerization*, in *Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering*, H. Mark, Editor. p. 708-855). This behavior is often attributed to the polymer radicals precipitating during the reaction in the nonsolvent environment, forming tightly coiled chains which “trap” or “occlude” the radicals. These trapped radicals can react with monomer but have trouble terminating, hence leading to autoacceleration and initiator exponents greater than 0.5. Normally radical trapping decreases with increasing polymerization temperatures. As we used a relatively high temperature in this study, i.e. 75°C, and  $CO_2$  densities that cause the polymer chains to be plasticized, hence increasing the free-volume of the polymer and mobility of the chain-ends, radical trapping is minimized.

iii) *Determination of the  $k_p/k_t^{0.5}$  Ratio.* To continue our goal for determining an appropriate model equation for the  $R_p$  of VF2 in our experimental system in  $scCO_2$ , and assuming simple chain-growth kinetics in a CSTR, we can develop our model using the following main assumptions, (1) Polymerization in fluid phase only.

(2) QSSA, LCA: Quasi-steady-state assumption (QSSA) is considered for the radical species. Moreover, because the large molecular weights usually obtained, the long-chain assumption (LCA) is introduced, thus neglecting any dependence of reactivity upon length. i.e.:

5

$$R_p = k_p [M \cdot] [M]_{OUT} \quad (8)$$

And

$$R_i = 2k_t [M \cdot]^2 = R_i \quad (9)$$

Combining (8) and (9) gives

10

$$R_p = k_p [M]_{OUT} (R_i / 2k_t)^{0.5} \quad (10)$$

and as:

$$R_i = 2fk_d [I]_{OUT} \quad (11)$$

we can derive an expression for the theoretical  $R_p$  for our experimental reactor:

$$R_p = (k_p / k_t^{0.5}) (fk_d ([I]_{OUT} - I^*)^{0.5} [M]_{OUT}^{1.0} \quad (12)$$

15

Equation 12 is hereafter referred to as the model equation for determining the  $R_p$ . In order to utilize our model equation 12, we must first determine experimental values for the  $k_p / k_t^{0.5}$  ratio, which should only depend on the reactor temperature for a given  $\text{CO}_2$  density (the polarity of the solvent as effected by monomer concentration may also have an effect on this ratio). In order to study the effect of the reaction temperature on our polymerizations, hence allowing us to determine the  $k_p / k_t^{0.5}$  ratio as a function of temperature, the reactor pressure was varied to provide a constant  $\text{CO}_2$  density of 0.74 g/ml. Combining equations (12) and (2) provides an expression that allows  $k_p / k_t^{0.5}$  to be determined experimentally.

20

25

$$k_p / k_t^{0.5} = \{ [M]_{IN} - [M]_{OUT} \} / ((\tau \{fk_d ([I]_{OUT} - I^*)\}^{0.5} [M]_{OUT}^{1.0}) \quad (13)$$

This allows us to utilize the Arrhenius relationship for the  $k_p / k_t^{0.5}$  ratio.



$$\ln[k_p/k_t^{0.5}] = \ln[A_p/A_t^{0.5}] - \frac{E_p - (E_t/2)}{RT} \quad (14)$$

**Fig. 7** provides the plot of equation 14, which is linear indicating that this system follows the Arrhenius relationship in the region investigated. An  $E_p - (E_t/2)$  value of 69 kJ/mol was determined from this plot. **Table 4** provides the  $k_p/k_t^{0.5}$  values determined for the 4 temperatures studied.

**Fig. 8** shows the effect of reactor temperature on the experimentally determined  $R_p$  (determined from equation 2) and compares these values to those predicted from model equation 12. The agreement with the model equation is excellent. As expected,  $R_p$  increases rapidly with temperature.

**Table 4.  $k_p/k_t^{0.5}$  Values determined from Experimental Data**

| Temperature (°C) | $K_p/k_t^{0.5}$ |
|------------------|-----------------|
| 65               | 0.12            |
| 70               | 0.18            |
| 75               | 0.25            |
| 80               | 0.36            |

*Effect of Reactor Mean Residence Time ( $\tau$ ) on  $R_p$ .* In order to test our developed model under varying experimental conditions, the effect of the mean residence time,  $\tau$ , as controlled by the flow of reactants was investigated in the region of 10-50 minutes. The flow-rates of  $\text{CO}_2$ , monomer and initiator were adjusted for each of the  $\tau$  values studied to give identical inlet concentrations of monomer and initiator. **Fig. 9** provides the  $R_p$  values determined experimentally from equation 2, and compares them to those calculated from our model equation 12. The  $R_p$  values decrease with increasing  $\tau$ , as expected, as low  $\tau$  values have the highest  $[\text{VF2}]_{\text{OUT}}$  and  $[\text{I}]_{\text{OUT}}$  values. Once again, the experimental data follows the model equation very closely.

**Fig. 10** provides the parity plot of all experimental data reported on in this study, which compares the experimental  $R_p$  data determined from equation 2, to that

predicted from model equation 12. This plot provides strong evidence that the  $R_p$ 's can be described quite well by our simple model equation 12. This behavior indicates that we can describe our precipitation polymerization as a pseudobulk system.

*Determination of Model Equations Describing the MWDs of PVDF.* Our model equation for  $M_n$  is obtained from the kinetic chain length,  $\nu$ , by assuming that: a) the heterogeneous polymerization occurs in a single phase, b) there is no chain transfer, c) all termination occurs by combination, and d) the accumulated polymer distribution is the same as the instantaneous distribution (which is true for an ideal CSTR). In other words, the instantaneous MWD defines a most probable distribution with polydispersity index of 1.5 where all termination occurs by combination (Flory, P.J., *Principles of Polymer Chemistry*. 1953, Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press. 161).

$$M_n = \frac{M_o k_p [M]_{OUT}}{(f \cdot k_d k_t)^{1/2} (I - I^*)^{1/2}} \quad (15)$$

$$M_w = 1.5 \cdot M_n \quad (16)$$

**Fig. 11** shows how the number and weight average molecular weights ( $M_n$  and  $M_w$ ) increase with increasing outlet monomer concentration and provides a comparison to model equations. We see that our experimental data fits the simple model equations reasonably well at low monomer concentrations for  $M_n$  and fits very well for  $M_w$  across all monomer concentrations studied.

**Conclusions.** For the precipitation polymerization of VF2 in scCO<sub>2</sub>, simple chain-growth kinetics were approximated for this heterogeneous polymerization and the order of the reaction with respect to initiator was found to be 0.5 and with respect to monomer 1.0. Stirring rate and agitator design were found to have no effect on the rate of polymerization. The conversion of VF2 in these polymerizations ranged from 7 to 26 %, and the rate of polymerization ( $R_p$ ) reached a maximum of  $34 \times 10^{-5}$  mol/L·s at a VF2 feed monomer concentration of 3.5 mol/L at 75 °C. The poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) polymer was collected as a dry "free-flowing" powder, and has been characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) giving

$M_w$ 's up to 104 kg/mol and PDIs as low as 1.3. Termination of polymer chains appears to occur by combination.

### EXAMPLE 3

#### 5. Large-Scale Apparatus

One embodiment of a scaled-up apparatus for implementing the present invention is described in **Fig. 12**. Initiator is transported continuously to a reactor, along with, and at a separate location from, carbon dioxide and monomer, which are introduced via a recirculation line through a recirculation pump. Make-up  $CO_2$  and monomer may be introduced through the top of the reactor if so desired as depicted. Upon exiting the reactor, the effluent stream is cooled and is transported to a separator (e.g., a filter or cyclone configuration). Polymer product exits through the bottom of the reactor and is collected in the separator. Carbon dioxide, either through the top or bottom of the reactor, may be employed to assist with transporting the polymer to a low pressure bag filter or extruder hopper.

An effluent stream comprising carbon dioxide, unreacted monomer (if any), and unreacted initiator (if any) is recycled back to the reactor as shown in **Fig. 12**. A purge is bled off from as a portion of the effluent stream. A liquid stream may optionally be collected through the bottom of the condenser if so desired.

### EXAMPLE 4

#### Residence Time Distribution of an Experimental Reactor

The residence time distribution of an experimental reactor of the present invention was evaluated using a pulse injection of tracer. For temperatures between 50°C and 90°C and pressures between 207 bar and 320 bar, and mean residence times as low as 13 minutes, the experimental reactor behaved as an ideal CSTR. The results are presented in **Figure 16**.

In the drawings and specification, there have been disclosed typical preferred embodiments of the invention and, although specific terms are employed, they are used in generic and descriptive sense only and not for the purposes of limitation, the scope of the invention being set forth in the following claims.